UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,401	02/12/2002	Robert E. McCoy	P/79-4	7032
PHILIP M. WE	7590 11/08/201 ISS, ESO.	EXAMINER		
WEISS & WEISS 300 Old Country Road Suite 251 Mineola, NY 11501			FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2158	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/074,401	MCCOY, ROBERT E.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARC R. FILIPCZYK	2158		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vorce and the period of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.			
··· _				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/074,401 Page 2

Art Unit: 2158

Response to Amendment

This action is responsive to Applicant's arguments filed on September 20, 2010. Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are pending.

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. amendments, 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/20/10 has been entered.

Priority

Claims Priority from Provisional Application 60/268,140 filed on February 12, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2158

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,334).

Regarding claim 10, Matsumoto discloses a method for determining which non-internet advertisements direct which web clicks to a website comprising: (abstract)

advertising by an internet company through use of television, radio and or newspaper ads; (see fig. 1, items 15 and 201 and col. 6, lines 45-65 and col. 7, lines 15-45: mail magazine)

providing information concerning where said ads are being run demographically and date

and time adds are run to a database of a system; (col. 7, lines 4-45, also see col. 6, lines 45-65)

storing information regarding said ads in said database; (see fig. 1, items 15 and 201 and col. 6, lines 45-65 and col. 7, lines 15-45)

storing times of day a person logs onto a site along with location of person logging onto said site using an IP address; (fig. 2, item 62, col. 8, lines 53-64)

subtracting from said system from said stored internet information internet traffic from links from other websites and not from direct logins to said website; (col. 8, lines 53-63, col. 9, lines 46-65 and col. 10, line 8 to col. 11, line 37),

comparing timing and location of advertising to timing and location of when a user logs onto said internet site; (col. 8, lines 53-63, col. 9, lines 46-65 and col. 10, line 8 to col. 11, line 37) and,

Art Unit: 2158

determining which source of advertising caused a user to log on to said internet site (fig. 9, col. 9, lines 61-65 and col. 10, lines 31-61 see Advertisers ABC and DEF in fig. 9 and related text).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,334) in view of Goldberg et al (USPN. 7,496,943).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Matsumoto discloses a system and method for determining which non-internet ads direct which web clicks to a web site comprising (abstract):

a database comprising information about a user's non-internet media buys which includes advertising on television, radio and newspaper ads (see fig. 1, items 15 and 201 and col. 6, lines 45-65 and col. 7, lines 15-45: mail magazine);

(Note: mailing magazine is one example of non-internet media buys)

an index log file optionally comprising a user's IP address and data and time user logs onto said web site (fig. 2, item 62, col. 8, lines 53-64);

said system comparing information from said first database with information from said index log file to determine which of said non-internet ads generated said web clicks and providing said information to a user (col. 10, lines 8-12);

and wherein the user's non internet buys comprises:

date and time of advertising, type of advertising, location of ad and expiration date of the ad (col. 7, lines 4-45, also see col. 6, lines 45-65).

Matsumoto does not expressly teach a second database for storing user's IP address, but does store user's referrer log showing all referring pages from which the user is led to entrance page and also optionally stores the user's IP address in the index log file (62). Note, the user's IP address does not have to be stored because Matsumoto system uses an index URL embedded in the ad which allows for the monitoring of the user's access induced by the advertisement hence the actions of the user are known without the need for user's IP address, however, optionally user's IP address may be stored (col. 8, lines 53-63).

However, Goldberg teaches an advertising system wherein two databases are used to store relevant information about advertisements and information about user affected by the advertisement (see figures 3 and 6, col. 24, line 56 to col. 24, line 22, Goldberg).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store user's IP address and referrer log in a second database in the Matsumoto system as taught by Goldberg by modifying the index log file (62) to be implemented as a database. One would have been motivated to use a second database instead of an index log file to easier manipulate the data stored in the index log file and organize the information about advertisements and user activity.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Matsumoto/Goldberg disclose the system further comprises a report that shows which ads generated the web clicks (fig. 9, col. 9, lines 61-65 and col. 10, lines 31-61 see Advertisers ABC and DEF, Matsumoto).

Regarding claim 4, Matsumoto/Goldberg disclose the information about a user's media buys further comprises demographics of the ad (col. 6, lines 59-63, Matsumoto).

Regarding claims 5 and 9, Matsumoto/Goldberg disclose the information about a user's media buys further comprises cost of the ad (col. 5, lines 22-29, Matsumoto).

Regarding claim 6, Matsumoto/Goldberg disclose a report that shows which of the web clicks do not correspond to an ad (col. 9, line 61 to col. 10, line 20, Matsumoto).

Response to Arguments

Applicants arguments filed February 16, 2010 have been fully considered but they moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The previous relevant arguments and responses are listed below:

(Previous arguments and responses:)

Applicant previously argued that Matsumoto does not teach which non-internet ads generated the web clicks.

Art Unit: 2158

Examiner disagrees. Regarding claims 2 and 8, Matsumoto discloses the system further comprises a report that shows which ads generated the web clicks (fig. 9, col. 9, lines 61-65 and col. 10, lines 31-61 see Advertisers ABC and DEF in fig. 9 and related text).

In regard prior correspondence in reference to claims 4-6, note that it appears that Applicants agree with the rejections on record, see pages 8 and 9 of the 3/13/08 response.

No other arguments have been raised, hence with respect to all the pending claims 1, 2 and 4-10, Examiner respectfully traverses Applicants assertion based on the discussion and rejection cited above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC R. FILIPCZYK whose telephone number is (571)272-4019. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ali can be reached on 571-272-4105. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,401 Page 8

Art Unit: 2158

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MF November 5, 2010 /Marc R Filipczyk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2158